

#1

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Tuesday, January 30, 2018 11:43:05 AM
Last Modified: Tuesday, January 30, 2018 11:47:41 AM
Time Spent: 00:04:36
IP Address: 70.181.243.131

Page 1

Q1 First Name (Optional)

Janice

Q2 Last Name (Optional)

Thompson

Q3 Organization (Optional)

Respondent skipped this question

Q4 Title (Optional)

Consultant

Q5 Please choose the one subcommittee to which you would like your feedback to be sent. Note: You may submit feedback to as many subcommittees as you wish. Simply click on the link again to submit additional comments.

Manufacturers Subcommittee

Q6 Feedback for Subcommittee

It would be helpful to provide more guidance on GMP and other regulatory requirements.

#2

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Tuesday, January 30, 2018 12:36:34 PM
Last Modified: Tuesday, January 30, 2018 12:44:23 PM
Time Spent: 00:07:49
IP Address: 76.103.155.77

Page 1

Q1 First Name (Optional)

Melissa

Q2 Last Name (Optional)

Moe

Q3 Organization (Optional)

Respondent skipped this question

Q4 Title (Optional)

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Please choose the one subcommittee to which you would like your feedback to be sent. Note: You may submit feedback to as many subcommittees as you wish. Simply click on the link again to submit additional comments.

Manufacturers Subcommittee

Cannabis Advisory Committee: Subcommittee Input Survey

Q6 Feedback for Subcommittee

January 30, 2018

After reading the newly released Packaging Standards I was shocked and disappointed. Though I agree and understand about the need for child safe packaging, the proliferation of plastic as a packaging go-to due to the over stringent rules is ill advised, unnecessary and dangerous to the environment.

Nowadays, with all of the newly formed regulations for pesticide free, clean- green cannabis, shouldn't the package that this legitimately organic medicine be sold in be held to some environmental standards as well? Packaging cannabis in plastic is hypocritical. It is inconsistent with the otherwise stringent testing for pesticides, molds and other contaminants.

Have the plastics used for flower drams, pre-roll tubes and mylar bags been tested for off gassing, chemical leaching, health safety?

I am sure you must be aware, as any one who reads a newspaper, or turns on the television, plastics are poisoning our oceans, streams, waterways and marine life. Killing the animals we love and need, ending up in the stomachs of beached whales and baby birds, and eventually in our drinking water and sea salt. Studies show (and I can cite them if necessary) that by 2020 there will be more plastics in the sea than fish. Contributing to this problem is irresponsible and shameful.

The main culprits in this ecological disgrace are single use plastics like the ubiquitous water bottle. A single plastic beverage bottle takes over 450 years to break down in landfill and will not ever completely breakdown in the ocean. Let's not add doob tubes, flower drams and plastic baggies to this toxic mix.

As a third generation packaging professional with patents, designs and experience under my belt, I assure you that there are many other options to solve the child resistant requirements mandated by the Poison Prevention Act of 1970, the Bureau of Cannabis Control, MAUCRSA and other regulatory groups we work with here in California and elsewhere throughout the United States.

Let's use common sense and long term judgement in setting up these rules and regulations not just overprotective and good intentioned reactions. When you stop and think about it, placing ALL cannabis products in a certified CRP package then once again in a certified CRP opaque exit package, is over the top anyway. Edibles are a no brainer but requiring resealable CRP packaging for non activated cannabis products such as flower is a little overkill. Unactivated fresh cannabis flower buds are nowhere near as dangerous to a 5 year old child as the non CRP packaged dishwasher soap underneath my sink, the ziplock of TIDE pods in my laundry room or the non tamper proof bottles of booze above my fridge. Let's be reasonable and realistic. Not emotional and over-reactive.

Increasingly across this country and around the world laws are being passed and governments are creating more legislation prohibiting the use of plastic bags at grocery stores, plastic straws in restaurants, plastic and styrofoam to-go containers and reducing single use plastics in every place they can.

Now is the time to layout the plans for the future of legal cannabis and we should do it right and do it carefully. Thinking about the effects of what we do now- instead of later, enabling packaging innovations and thus new sustainable ideas like plant based, biodegradable materials and substrates to enter the burgeoning cannabis industry is good for everyone.

You at the Bureau have the opportunity to make a difference. Please use this power wisely and with integrity.

Thank you for this opportunity to submit my feedback.

M.T. Moe

#3

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Tuesday, January 30, 2018 2:02:40 PM
Last Modified: Tuesday, January 30, 2018 2:10:30 PM
Time Spent: 00:07:50
IP Address: 108.160.36.40

Page 1

Q1 First Name (Optional)

Ron

Q2 Last Name (Optional)

Respondent skipped this question

Q3 Organization (Optional)

Respondent skipped this question

Q4 Title (Optional)

A California edible cannabis manufacturer

Q5 Please choose the one subcommittee to which you would like your feedback to be sent. Note: You may submit feedback to as many subcommittees as you wish. Simply click on the link again to submit additional comments.

Manufacturers Subcommittee

Q6 Feedback for Subcommittee

Most manufacturers in existence today are small in nature. They have always been a 'mom+pop' type o operation because BIG! was too expensive in such a tenuous environment. Also it allowed many to operate that could not other wise by creating out of their own kitchens. That tenuous nature is still there with sessions running the D o J. These small operators have operated under the Cottage Industry Law as it offered an opportunity to earn income. So many sought a means of making an income because the employment environment out there offered nothing but low paying boring frustrating meaningless work. People would rather just 'take a chance' and seek other options than waste their time/lives.

The small nature capacity of all of these operators has been so greatly impacted by the ridiculously high fees and licensing and restrictions mandated by you! The set-up you have created would only allow for a well financed investment corporate operators to be in. You have killed diversity in this industry. The added costs of your rules only increase the price of ALL products in this industry, especially if your out put is small in nature. There needs to be an allowance of 'craft industry' in scene.

I thought that you wanted to discourage the black market!? But with these added expenses you only encourage the black-market ! What is your point here !?!? If you wanted a law abiding market THEN reduce you fees and licensing. Other wise you only guarantee the opposite !

Since taxes historically NEVER EVER go down or away it would seem that one would be more encouraging of these small businesses that have already been 'Out There'. It comes down to creating rules that do not fly in the face of existing businesses that were operating FINE. Your rule making has been chaotic and \$150 million too expensive at best! YOU were given charge of this 'bureau' because it was said that you had the 'experience!' You are making rules for a substance that MOST of you have never consumed, have no idea of doing so and want others to do what you say !!!! Think about IT !

#4

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Tuesday, January 30, 2018 2:04:52 PM
Last Modified: Tuesday, January 30, 2018 2:20:17 PM
Time Spent: 00:15:24
IP Address: 71.246.52.121

Page 1

Q1 First Name (Optional)

Ethan

Q2 Last Name (Optional)

Feffer

Q3 Organization (Optional)

Feffer Legal Advisory Services

Q4 Title (Optional)

Attorney

Q5 Please choose the one subcommittee to which you would like your feedback to be sent. Note: You may submit feedback to as many subcommittees as you wish. Simply click on the link again to submit additional comments.

Manufacturers Subcommittee

Q6 Feedback for Subcommittee

Packaging rules should not requiring dosing cups or similar measuring devices for cannabis beverages. They are misleading and can lead to consumer dosing errors across products of different concentrations, even within the same brand. It is safer to require that serving size be listed on packaging in ounces, so the consumer can measure out the serving size for the particular product. Measuring cup requirements cause greater confusion and potential for over-ingesting.

#5

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Tuesday, January 30, 2018 4:38:27 PM
Last Modified: Tuesday, January 30, 2018 4:40:03 PM
Time Spent: 00:01:35
IP Address: 107.207.224.88

Page 1

Q1 First Name (Optional)

jeff

Q2 Last Name (Optional)

Respondent skipped this question

Q3 Organization (Optional)

Respondent skipped this question

Q4 Title (Optional)

Operations

Q5 Please choose the one subcommittee to which you would like your feedback to be sent. Note: You may submit feedback to as many subcommittees as you wish. Simply click on the link again to submit additional comments.

Manufacturers Subcommittee**Q6** Feedback for Subcommittee

Respondent skipped this question

#6

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Tuesday, January 30, 2018 5:07:44 PM
Last Modified: Tuesday, January 30, 2018 5:11:30 PM
Time Spent: 00:03:45
IP Address: 216.151.183.87

Page 1

Q1 First Name (Optional)

AJ

Q2 Last Name (Optional)

da Cunha

Q3 Organization (Optional)

IBALD Enterprises

Q4 Title (Optional)

President

Q5 Please choose the one subcommittee to which you would like your feedback to be sent. Note: You may submit feedback to as many subcommittees as you wish. Simply click on the link again to submit additional comments.

Manufacturers Subcommittee

Q6 Feedback for Subcommittee

I would really like to see the Shared manufacturing license, I believe type S, be made available as soon as possible. The larger more well funded companies are locking out those of small artisan manufacturers from getting a facility to operate in.

#7

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Tuesday, January 30, 2018 9:03:25 PM
Last Modified: Tuesday, January 30, 2018 9:08:06 PM
Time Spent: 00:04:41
IP Address: 73.151.79.96

Page 1

Q1 First Name (Optional)

Gary

Q2 Last Name (Optional)

Jost

Q3 Organization (Optional)

T

Q4 Title (Optional)

S

Q5 Please choose the one subcommittee to which you would like your feedback to be sent. Note: You may submit feedback to as many subcommittees as you wish. Simply click on the link again to submit additional comments.

Manufacturers Subcommittee

Q6 Feedback for Subcommittee

Since public safety is paramount, there should be some guidance surrounding the quality of gases used for manufacturing. Currently there are no regulations as to the grade or contaminants within those grades. The oils absorb these gases and any other contaminate that is in the gas. It will then be concentrated within the oil. These gases are safe if you use the correct products. I am available to answer any questions you may have. gary@tricowelding.com

#8

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Wednesday, January 31, 2018 11:13:37 AM
Last Modified: Wednesday, January 31, 2018 11:17:57 AM
Time Spent: 00:04:20
IP Address: 75.144.255.58

Page 1

Q1 First Name (Optional)

Adriana

Q2 Last Name (Optional)

Respondent skipped this question

Q3 Organization (Optional)

Respondent skipped this question

Q4 Title (Optional)

Dispensary Manager

Q5 Please choose the one subcommittee to which you would like your feedback to be sent. Note: You may submit feedback to as many subcommittees as you wish. Simply click on the link again to submit additional comments.

Manufacturers Subcommittee**Q6** Feedback for Subcommittee

The amount of packaging is defeating much of the environmental work the State of California has worked hard to make progress in. We (retailers) are required to provide childproof plastic bags, when the state worked hard to ban the use of plastic bags. Many consumers complain about the waste and the cost of these bags is too high. Statistically, the amount of emphasis on childproofing doesn't make sense. We should child-proof laundry detergent and soap based on the data reasoning for childproofing purchases on top of product child proofing on the vendor's end.

#9

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Wednesday, January 31, 2018 12:17:08 PM
Last Modified: Wednesday, January 31, 2018 4:15:23 PM
Time Spent: 03:58:15
IP Address: 38.104.143.130

Page 1

Q1 First Name (Optional)

Josh

Q2 Last Name (Optional)

Lizotte

Q3 Organization (Optional)

Lost County Inc.

Q4 Title (Optional)

CFO

Q5 Please choose the one subcommittee to which you would like your feedback to be sent. Note: You may submit feedback to as many subcommittees as you wish. Simply click on the link again to submit additional comments.

Manufacturers Subcommittee

Q6 Feedback for Subcommittee

My company is an edible products producer and we're interested in sharing a facility with another company. Is this possible now? I know the State is working on a Type S manufacturing license; is this the license we'll fall under? Is there any idea when the Type S will be available?

Thank you for your time.

#10

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Wednesday, January 31, 2018 10:45:46 PM
Last Modified: Wednesday, January 31, 2018 10:58:54 PM
Time Spent: 00:13:08
IP Address: 104.174.251.41

Page 1

Q1 First Name (Optional)

Lora

Q2 Last Name (Optional)

Novellino

Q3 Organization (Optional)

CCNC Distributions,LLC dba CannisCakesN'Confections

Q4 Title (Optional)

CEO

Q5 Please choose the one subcommittee to which you would like your feedback to be sent. Note: You may submit feedback to as many subcommittees as you wish. Simply click on the link again to submit additional comments.

Manufacturers Subcommittee

Q6 Feedback for Subcommittee

What criteria, qualifications or process for which a Manufacturer of Edibles can become a state accredited Culinary School or Training Facility or an individual State Licensed Educator of the Cannabis Culinary Arts.

#11

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Friday, February 02, 2018 11:45:55 AM
Last Modified: Friday, February 02, 2018 11:56:57 AM
Time Spent: 00:11:01
IP Address: 199.192.87.26

Page 1

Q1 First Name (Optional)

Respondent skipped this question

Q2 Last Name (Optional)

Respondent skipped this question

Q3 Organization (Optional)

Respondent skipped this question

Q4 Title (Optional)

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Please choose the one subcommittee to which you would like your feedback to be sent. Note: You may submit feedback to as many subcommittees as you wish. Simply click on the link again to submit additional comments.

Manufacturers Subcommittee**Q6** Feedback for Subcommittee

Is hemp derived CBD allowed in cannabis products in CA?

#12

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Monday, February 05, 2018 9:51:53 AM
Last Modified: Monday, February 05, 2018 10:20:54 AM
Time Spent: 00:29:00
IP Address: 50.250.197.190

Page 1

Q1 First Name (Optional)

Nancy

Q2 Last Name (Optional)

Belli

Q3 Organization (Optional)

Cannabis Consulting

Q4 Title (Optional)

Owner

Q5 Please choose the one subcommittee to which you would like your feedback to be sent. Note: You may submit feedback to as many subcommittees as you wish. Simply click on the link again to submit additional comments.

Manufacturers Subcommittee

Q6 Feedback for Subcommittee

Manufactured cannabis waste is a high profile waste that must be regulated separately from cultivation waste. This is because cannabis waste created by manufactures are highly desirable and can be harmful if someone obtains it in the wrong hands. The reality is that manufacturers will not manage the cannabis waste themselves by rendering it neutralized (unrecognizable and unusable) per the State regulations. This is because many manufacturers do not have the space or means to render the cannabis waste, and throwing it in the trash receptacles is non-compliant per State Regulations. The State Regulations should require that all manufactured cannabis waste be handled by a third party cannabis licensed waste management company to handle all waste (similar to a standard trash service). This cannabis waste management company MUST have the appropriate cannabis licenses to conduct the business such as a cannabis distribution license and cannabis manufacturing license (processing license). The distribution license will allow the cannabis waste management company to pick up the untreated cannabis since it is still considered cannabis product, and the manufacturing (processing) license will allow the waste management company to render the cannabis product into neutralized cannabis waste. These licenses not only make the cannabis waste management company compliant, but also helps with the track and tracing of all stages of the cannabis product.

If a manufacturer does NOT sign up with a cannabis licenses waste management company, then the manufacturer must hire a third party consultant to verify their waste is being properly rendered to show to the State. This will avoid any loopholes in which the cannabis product goes undetected.

#13

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Monday, February 05, 2018 12:15:18 PM
Last Modified: Monday, February 05, 2018 12:41:34 PM
Time Spent: 00:26:15
IP Address: 24.7.168.60

Page 1

Q1 First Name (Optional)

Clinton

Q2 Last Name (Optional)

Porter

Q3 Organization (Optional)

SVN10 Creations

Q4 Title (Optional)

Business Development Manager

Q5 Please choose the one subcommittee to which you would like your feedback to be sent. Note: You may submit feedback to as many subcommittees as you wish. Simply click on the link again to submit additional comments.

Manufacturers Subcommittee

Q6 Feedback for Subcommittee

SVN10 Creations would like clarification and to provide guidance related to samples, giveaways, and employee discounts for manufactured cannabis goods.

#14

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Monday, February 05, 2018 12:16:13 PM
Last Modified: Monday, February 05, 2018 12:43:05 PM
Time Spent: 00:26:52
IP Address: 24.7.168.60

Page 1

Q1 First Name (Optional)

Elisa

Q2 Last Name (Optional)

Allechant

Q3 Organization (Optional)

Fenix Holdings

Q4 Title (Optional)

Office Manager

Q5 Please choose the one subcommittee to which you would like your feedback to be sent. Note: You may submit feedback to as many subcommittees as you wish. Simply click on the link again to submit additional comments.

Manufacturers Subcommittee

Q6 Feedback for Subcommittee

Currently the regulations do not allow for give aways of cannabis products. We would like guidance on how to legally 1. have potential customers and potential retail outlets sample our products 2. provide cannabis products at a discount to our employees

#15

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Monday, February 05, 2018 2:32:55 PM
Last Modified: Monday, February 05, 2018 2:35:00 PM
Time Spent: 00:02:04
IP Address: 68.98.226.197

Page 1

Q1 First Name (Optional)

Bill

Q2 Last Name (Optional)

Krahel

Q3 Organization (Optional)

OnSite Waste Solutions

Q4 Title (Optional)

President & CEO

Q5 Please choose the one subcommittee to which you would like your feedback to be sent. Note: You may submit feedback to as many subcommittees as you wish. Simply click on the link again to submit additional comments.

Manufacturers Subcommittee

Q6 Feedback for Subcommittee

Respondent skipped this question

#16

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Monday, February 05, 2018 2:36:48 PM
Last Modified: Monday, February 05, 2018 2:38:20 PM
Time Spent: 00:01:32
IP Address: 68.98.226.197

Page 1

Q1 First Name (Optional)

Bill

Q2 Last Name (Optional)

Krahel

Q3 Organization (Optional)

OnSite Waste Solutions

Q4 Title (Optional)

President & CEO

Q5 Please choose the one subcommittee to which you would like your feedback to be sent. Note: You may submit feedback to as many subcommittees as you wish. Simply click on the link again to submit additional comments.

Manufacturers Subcommittee

Q6 Feedback for Subcommittee

Same Question as posed to the Cultivators Subcommittee

#17

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Monday, February 05, 2018 3:14:02 PM
Last Modified: Monday, February 05, 2018 3:15:39 PM
Time Spent: 00:01:36
IP Address: 159.83.252.213

Page 1

Q1 First Name (Optional) Respondent skipped this question

Q2 Last Name (Optional) Respondent skipped this question

Q3 Organization (Optional) Respondent skipped this question

Q4 Title (Optional) Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Please choose the one subcommittee to which you would like your feedback to be sent. Note: You may submit feedback to as many subcommittees as you wish. Simply click on the link again to submit additional comments. **Manufacturers Subcommittee**

Q6 Feedback for Subcommittee

Request to enforce edible cannabis products similar as food products. (Using California Retail Food Code).

#18

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Monday, February 05, 2018 8:05:58 PM
Last Modified: Monday, February 05, 2018 8:16:15 PM
Time Spent: 00:10:17
IP Address: 198.27.190.33

Page 1

Q1 First Name (Optional)

Bridget

Q2 Last Name (Optional)

May

Q3 Organization (Optional)

Little Green Bee

Q4 Title (Optional)

President

Q5 Please choose the one subcommittee to which you would like your feedback to be sent. Note: You may submit feedback to as many subcommittees as you wish. Simply click on the link again to submit additional comments.

Manufacturers Subcommittee

Q6 Feedback for Subcommittee

I am a topicals manufacturer in San Francisco.

There should be a distinction for products for topical application. They DO NOT cause psychoactive effects and so should not have a warning on them that says that they do. It is very misleading and doesn't even make sense. Not logical.

Also, the child resistant packaging shouldn't apply either. A jar of Vicks Vapo Rub, for example is not to be ingested but doesn't come in a child resistant package. Again, it doesn't make logical sense to put balms and creams (multi-use products) in difficult to open packaging. In addition, because plastic is such an environmental nightmare and we are CALIFORNIA after all, PLEASE allow some alternatives to 4mm plastic containers. This is of grave importance. We need to be moving away from plastic.

I would also like to stress the immediate need for the ability to share manufacturing space. There is a lot of gauging going on in the cannabis rental market and small businesses really don't have a lot of money to pay for super high rents in addition to all the permit and license fees. All this makes it prohibitive for small businesses to get started without tons of capital investment. Please allow shared spaces immediately. I don't necessarily mean commissary style but just letting two or more license holders to share the same address would make a huge difference.

#19

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Monday, February 05, 2018 7:27:31 PM
Last Modified: Monday, February 05, 2018 8:49:22 PM
Time Spent: 01:21:50
IP Address: 68.101.162.78

Page 1

Q1 First Name (Optional)

Andrew

Q2 Last Name (Optional)

Hopkins

Q3 Organization (Optional)

The Werc Shop

Q4 Title (Optional)

Manufacturers can pay cultivation tax before cannabis goods are produced

Q5 Please choose the one subcommittee to which you would like your feedback to be sent. Note: You may submit feedback to as many subcommittees as you wish. Simply click on the link again to submit additional comments.

Manufacturers Subcommittee

Q6 Feedback for Subcommittee

Distributors need the ability to pay cultivation tax on behalf of manufacturers at the time of raw material purchase. Manufacturers would prefer to pay the cultivation tax before the cannabis goods are manufactured.

#20

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Monday, February 05, 2018 8:56:59 PM
Last Modified: Monday, February 05, 2018 8:58:51 PM
Time Spent: 00:01:52
IP Address: 68.101.162.78

Page 1

Q1 First Name (Optional)

Andrew

Q2 Last Name (Optional)

Hopkins

Q3 Organization (Optional)

The Werc Shop

Q4 Title (Optional)

Transfer of in process/research samples between licensees

Q5 Please choose the one subcommittee to which you would like your feedback to be sent. Note: You may submit feedback to as many subcommittees as you wish. Simply click on the link again to submit additional comments.

Manufacturers Subcommittee

Q6 Feedback for Subcommittee

Licensees need to be permitted to transfer in process/research samples between licenses without the use of a distributor. Small quantities of unfinished goods that are required for product development testing or quality assurance testing should not require a distributor for transportation.

#21

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Monday, February 05, 2018 4:46:05 PM
Last Modified: Monday, February 05, 2018 10:46:07 PM
Time Spent: 06:00:02
IP Address: 216.7.77.233

Page 1

Q1 First Name (Optional)

erica

Q2 Last Name (Optional)

rosenfarb

Q3 Organization (Optional)

flower power healing llc

Q4 Title (Optional)

co-owner

Q5 Please choose the one subcommittee to which you would like your feedback to be sent. Note: You may submit feedback to as many subcommittees as you wish. Simply click on the link again to submit additional comments.

Manufacturers Subcommittee

Q6 Feedback for Subcommittee

CDPH proposed regulations in Section 40306, including regulations on a 1000mg adult use/2000mg medical use cap on tinctures and topicals. As a topical and tincture manufacturer in Mendocino County, I feel these caps are arbitrary, especially that they are proposed as a cap regardless of package size. This means that no matter what size a product is packaged in, the cap is the same. If the topical comes in 1/2oz, 2oz, 4oz or 6oz container the cap is the same. Many of our patients are elderly individuals or people who use topicals and tinctures for a non-psychoactive means of pain management to avoid or get off opiates and it is important for them to access a high potency medicine, and affordable at that. Being able to package a high potency product in a 4 or 6oz container makes the medicine more accessible. I promote 2 solutions, to remove the cap completely for topicals and tinctures or at least create a per serving size standardization of 400/500mg/oz, not just an arbitrary limit regardless of package size. The cap should be based per ounce so the manufacturer has packaging options in what size the products are packaged while still being able to continue to provide the medicine patients are accustomed to...Thank You very much in advance

#22

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Tuesday, February 06, 2018 9:12:24 AM
Last Modified: Tuesday, February 06, 2018 9:15:36 AM
Time Spent: 00:03:11
IP Address: 107.3.149.212

Page 1

Q1 First Name (Optional)

Jewel

Q2 Last Name (Optional)

Respondent skipped this question

Q3 Organization (Optional)

Cocoa Collection LLC

Q4 Title (Optional)

Owner

Q5 Please choose the one subcommittee to which you would like your feedback to be sent. Note: You may submit feedback to as many subcommittees as you wish. Simply click on the link again to submit additional comments.

Manufacturers Subcommittee

Q6 Feedback for Subcommittee

40264. Batch Production Record - omit the section where each batch needs a verification signature different from the actual manufacturer of that batch. 2 people to do one job is cost prohibitive and leaves more room for error.

- Be able to host an event with a manufacturers license with the option to purchase single use permits without having to get a an actual event license.
- We should be given an extension of 30 days to notify the state not 10days.

Allow S type license to be released asap.

#23

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Tuesday, February 06, 2018 9:16:42 AM
Last Modified: Tuesday, February 06, 2018 9:18:10 AM
Time Spent: 00:01:27
IP Address: 107.3.149.212

Page 1

Q1 First Name (Optional)

Respondent skipped this question

Q2 Last Name (Optional)

Respondent skipped this question

Q3 Organization (Optional)

Respondent skipped this question

Q4 Title (Optional)

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Please choose the one subcommittee to which you would like your feedback to be sent. Note: You may submit feedback to as many subcommittees as you wish. Simply click on the link again to submit additional comments.

Manufacturers Subcommittee**Q6** Feedback for Subcommittee

Non-cannabis products should be allowed to be prepared at the licensed premises as long as they have different criteria such as different size and weight and to be used for sampling only.

#24

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Tuesday, February 06, 2018 9:18:16 AM
Last Modified: Tuesday, February 06, 2018 9:24:35 AM
Time Spent: 00:06:18
IP Address: 107.3.149.212

Page 1

Q1 First Name (Optional) Respondent skipped this question

Q2 Last Name (Optional) Respondent skipped this question

Q3 Organization (Optional) Respondent skipped this question

Q4 Title (Optional) Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Please choose the one subcommittee to which you would like your feedback to be sent. Note: You may submit feedback to as many subcommittees as you wish. Simply click on the link again to submit additional comments. **Manufacturers Subcommittee**

Q6 Feedback for Subcommittee

not sure if this is the right committee -

Eliminate or moderate the Trim Tax. Otherwise, this could get very expensive for manufacturers.

- Cut back on over-zealous packaging regulations.

child resistant packaging only if it's a glass bottle or jar. Otherwise, have the dispensary responsible for bagging in a child-resistant carry-out bag.

- Eliminate county and municipal level local gross receipts taxes on manufacturers or at least cap it at 1%.

- Clarification of tax collection as it relates to manufacturers total.
goes with the above concern.

#25

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Friday, February 02, 2018 9:03:40 AM
Last Modified: Tuesday, February 06, 2018 10:00:27 AM
Time Spent: Over a day
IP Address: 23.241.156.190

Page 1

Q1 First Name (Optional)

Alex

Q2 Last Name (Optional)

Howe

Q3 Organization (Optional)

Powerplant Strategies

Q4 Title (Optional)

Managing Director

Q5 Please choose the one subcommittee to which you would like your feedback to be sent. Note: You may submit feedback to as many subcommittees as you wish. Simply click on the link again to submit additional comments.

Manufacturers Subcommittee

Q6 Feedback for Subcommittee

While it hasn't been specified I feel it's important that manufacturers in the cannabis space are given the same ability to leverage mobile bottling solutions that the alcohol industry has relied on for decades. These mobile lines are subject to a stringent sanitization process and provide manufacturers with a custom alternative to expensive machinery. We ask that you allow our industry to have the same access to these mobile bottling lines for production as any other industry to grow and produce the cleanest and best products.

#26

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Tuesday, February 06, 2018 11:05:59 AM
Last Modified: Tuesday, February 06, 2018 11:08:14 AM
Time Spent: 00:02:14
IP Address: 157.131.133.78

Page 1

Q1 First Name (Optional)

Joanna

Q2 Last Name (Optional)

Cedar

Q3 Organization (Optional)

Respondent skipped this question

Q4 Title (Optional)

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Please choose the one subcommittee to which you would like your feedback to be sent. Note: You may submit feedback to as many subcommittees as you wish. Simply click on the link again to submit additional comments.

Manufacturers Subcommittee

Q6 Feedback for Subcommittee

For manufacturers, the A and M designations do not work. There are shortages in one supply chain and excesses in the other compromising patient access to medicine. Keep the designations are the retail level but get rid of them in all other parts of the supply chain.

#27

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Tuesday, February 06, 2018 11:15:43 AM
Last Modified: Tuesday, February 06, 2018 11:21:14 AM
Time Spent: 00:05:30
IP Address: 50.250.197.190

Page 1

Q1 First Name (Optional)

Brian

Q2 Last Name (Optional)

Kahn

Q3 Organization (Optional)

Cannabis Operator

Q4 Title (Optional)

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Please choose the one subcommittee to which you would like your feedback to be sent. Note: You may submit feedback to as many subcommittees as you wish. Simply click on the link again to submit additional comments.

Manufacturers Subcommittee

Q6 Feedback for Subcommittee

The regulations need to be updated to properly address who is able to properly manage all the cannabis waste that will be created. More specifically, the regulations need to ensure that if a cannabis operator is going to utilize a third party cannabis waste management company, the cannabis waste management company must obtain the proper cannabis licenses to transport and render the cannabis waste. Any random person or existing trash company CANNOT handle cannabis waste. This cannabis waste management company MUST have the appropriate cannabis licenses such as a cannabis distribution license and cannabis manufacturing license (processing license). Since the product that will be picked up is untreated cannabis product (un-rendered cannabis product), the movement of the cannabis requires a distribution license. The distribution license will allow the cannabis waste management company to pick up the untreated cannabis since it is still considered cannabis product, and the manufacturing (processing) license will allow the waste management company to render the cannabis product into neutralized cannabis waste. These licenses not only make the cannabis waste management company compliant, but also help with the track and tracing of all stages of the cannabis product through Metrc since all cannabis license holders need to use the track and trace system. The proposed changes will guarantee that all cannabis waste is being handled by cannabis-permitted companies that have extensive working knowledge in the industry. These changes will ensure that all cannabis waste streams are properly identified and documented through the State's Track and Trace System, and ensure all cannabis operators are working compliantly together.

#28

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Tuesday, February 06, 2018 1:59:09 PM
Last Modified: Tuesday, February 06, 2018 2:00:57 PM
Time Spent: 00:01:48
IP Address: 76.93.181.215

Page 1

Q1 First Name (Optional)

Nachie

Q2 Last Name (Optional)

Carmichael

Q3 Organization (Optional)

Respondent skipped this question

Q4 Title (Optional)

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Please choose the one subcommittee to which you would like your feedback to be sent. Note: You may submit feedback to as many subcommittees as you wish. Simply click on the link again to submit additional comments.

Manufacturers Subcommittee

Q6 Feedback for Subcommittee

In regards to Cannabis edibles; Please lift the ban on milk & eggs. Also please allow edibles that need to be refrigerated. Thank you.

#29

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Tuesday, February 06, 2018 2:25:40 PM
Last Modified: Tuesday, February 06, 2018 2:26:09 PM
Time Spent: 00:00:28
IP Address: 73.93.155.175

Page 1

Q1 First Name (Optional)

Megumi

Q2 Last Name (Optional)

Reagan

Q3 Organization (Optional)

Respondent skipped this question

Q4 Title (Optional)

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Please choose the one subcommittee to which you would like your feedback to be sent. Note: You may submit feedback to as many subcommittees as you wish. Simply click on the link again to submit additional comments.

Manufacturers Subcommittee

Q6 Feedback for Subcommittee

To Whom It May Concern:

I'm writing you to express concern over cannabis waste regulations. Cannabis waste comes in innumerable forms. I've found that the layman generally associates cannabis waste with leaves, stalks, stems, and other plant and soil byproducts. However, it's important to note that cannabis waste also includes post-extracted cannabis plants and flowers, failed lab tested materials, ancillary manufactured waste (for example, i.e., wax paper, gloves, beakers, etc.), retail display items, and returned/damaged retail items. These streams of waste come from all industry stakeholders: cultivators, manufacturers, retailers, distributors and testing labs. Handling the volume of waste produced by these stakeholders creates an ancillary industry that must be regulated.

The regulations need to be updated to reflect who is qualified to properly manage cannabis waste. The vast amounts of cannabis waste produced by the industry pose a serious risk to public health, specifically children and the disenfranchised, if not handled by properly licensed cannabis waste haulers as opposed to general waste management service providers. Third party cannabis waste management companies must obtain the proper licenses to transport and render cannabis waste. Frequently, cannabis byproduct and waste are indistinguishable from safe-to-consume materials and/or products. To mitigate these risks, limiting the exposure of the public to cannabis waste vis-a-vis safe and sustainable disposal of cannabis waste that has been tracked and traced and handled by licensed cannabis waste haulers is imperative. It will ensure that all ecosystems—the environment, the public and industry stakeholders can successfully co-exist.

Thank you.

#30

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Tuesday, February 06, 2018 3:08:14 PM
Last Modified: Tuesday, February 06, 2018 3:18:44 PM
Time Spent: 00:10:29
IP Address: 24.5.107.76

Page 1

Q1 First Name (Optional)

Respondent skipped this question

Q2 Last Name (Optional)

Respondent skipped this question

Q3 Organization (Optional)

Respondent skipped this question

Q4 Title (Optional)

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Please choose the one subcommittee to which you would like your feedback to be sent. Note: You may submit feedback to as many subcommittees as you wish. Simply click on the link again to submit additional comments.

Manufacturers Subcommittee

Q6 Feedback for Subcommittee

The definition of "Cannabis concentrate" in section 40100 currently includes "tinctures" as one example of a cannabis concentrate. We would like the subcommittee to consider changing the word "tinctures" to "sublinguals" as this is a broader term that more accurately captures products that are consumed orally but have a different mode of action than edibles (i.e. are absorbed through the mucosa of the mouth under the tongue and bypass first pass metabolism in the liver as opposed to edibles that go through the stomach and then on to first pass metabolism in the liver). "Tinctures" are a subset of "sublinguals". Other "sublinguals" include oral dissolving thin film strips, tablets that are placed under the tongue, and infused sprays that are designed to be spritzed under the tongue. We feel this slight change in wording in the definition of "Cannabis concentrate" will provide necessary clarification to manufacturers, retailers and consumers. Thank you for your consideration.

#31

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Tuesday, February 06, 2018 5:03:25 PM
Last Modified: Tuesday, February 06, 2018 5:08:04 PM
Time Spent: 00:04:39
IP Address: 23.114.217.87

Page 1

Q1 First Name (Optional) Respondent skipped this question

Q2 Last Name (Optional) Respondent skipped this question

Q3 Organization (Optional) Respondent skipped this question

Q4 Title (Optional) Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Please choose the one subcommittee to which you would like your feedback to be sent. Note: You may submit feedback to as many subcommittees as you wish. Simply click on the link again to submit additional comments. **Manufacturers Subcommittee**

Q6 Feedback for Subcommittee

Will manufacturers be allowed to sell products directly to their employees, offering employee discounts?

#32

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Tuesday, February 06, 2018 6:31:05 PM
Last Modified: Tuesday, February 06, 2018 6:37:01 PM
Time Spent: 00:05:55
IP Address: 67.174.233.8

Page 1

Q1 First Name (Optional)

Jude

Q2 Last Name (Optional)

Thilman

Q3 Organization (Optional)

Dragonfly Wellness Center

Q4 Title (Optional)

Owner

Q5 Please choose the one subcommittee to which you would like your feedback to be sent. Note: You may submit feedback to as many subcommittees as you wish. Simply click on the link again to submit additional comments.

Manufacturers Subcommittee

Q6 Feedback for Subcommittee

Allow Type 6 non-volatile manufacturing as an acceptable use with cultivation and retail, as well as within the microbusiness licenses. Support small-scale, niche manufacturing with language explicit to cannabis and the coastal zone. This is critical for many of our small cultivators and medicine makers to scale up and continue the traditional added value of infused products they produce from their organic, craft raw flower. We cannot allow large-scale production to be the only mode of production in the state. We must support the unique and valuable infused products that our craft cultivators and manufacturers have produced for 3 and 4 generations in this state.

#33

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Tuesday, February 06, 2018 6:55:20 PM
Last Modified: Tuesday, February 06, 2018 6:56:17 PM
Time Spent: 00:00:56
IP Address: 69.181.184.67

Page 1

Q1 First Name (Optional)

Marco

Q2 Last Name (Optional)

Troiani

Q3 Organization (Optional)

Digamma Consulting

Q4 Title (Optional)

CEO

Q5 Please choose the one subcommittee to which you would like your feedback to be sent. Note: You may submit feedback to as many subcommittees as you wish. Simply click on the link again to submit additional comments.

Manufacturers Subcommittee

Q6 Feedback for Subcommittee

Chapter 6. Manufactured Cannabis Safety

Subchapter 3. Requirements of Operation

Article 4. Production and Process Controls

We would recommend that stability testing be required for all manufactured cannabis products. The Food and Drug Administration defines Stability Testing states in Guidance for Industry Q1A(R2) Stability Testing of New Drug Substances and Products

“The purpose of stability testing is to provide evidence on how the quality of a drug substance or drug product varies with time under the influence of a variety of environmental factors, such as temperature, humidity, and light, and to establish a retest period for the drug substance or a shelf life for the drug product and recommended storage conditions.”

The patients and adult-use customer of manufactured cannabis products should have some assurance that the product they are taking is reasonably close to what is on the label, and that the effect these products have on them are consistent. Stability testing, storage condition and the use of expiration date are a key set of best practices that increase the likelihood the patient or customer will be receiving a consistent product.

We recommend that a section 40265. Stability Testing between 40264. Batch Production Record, and section 40268. Recalls be added. Below is our proposed text for that section.

(a) Stability testing must be performed on all cannabis products that will be sold to patients or customers through licensed cannabis retailer.

(b) Stability testing is required for each product, as defined by the product's standard operating procedure. If the operating procedure is changed, then the stability test must be repeated for that product.

(c) The stability testing will determine that how long a cannabis product's label components not exceed plus or minus 10% of the label concentrations or amounts at the manufacture recommended storage conditions for that product.

(d) The stability testing will be conducted by a license testing laboratory, as define in Title 16. Division 42. Bureau of Cannabis Control.

(e) The last time point in which the cannabis product meets the conditions in section 40265 (b) will be the maximum expiration period.

(f) The maximum stable period will be reported to the Bureau of Cannabis Control.

(g) The expiration date of a production batch of cannabis product is determined by the adding the manufactured date to the maximum stable period. A manufacture can use an expiration date prior to expiration date when labeling the cannabis product.

#34

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Tuesday, February 06, 2018 6:59:23 PM
Last Modified: Tuesday, February 06, 2018 7:00:02 PM
Time Spent: 00:00:38
IP Address: 69.181.184.67

Page 1

Q1 First Name (Optional)

Marco

Q2 Last Name (Optional)

Troiani

Q3 Organization (Optional)

Digamma Consulting

Q4 Title (Optional)

CEO<https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/KDJ338M>

Q5 Please choose the one subcommittee to which you would like your feedback to be sent. Note: You may submit feedback to as many subcommittees as you wish. Simply click on the link again to submit additional comments.

Manufacturers Subcommittee

Q6 Feedback for Subcommittee

Chapter 6. Manufactured Cannabis Safety

Subchapter 5. Labeling and Packaging Requirements

Article 2. Labeling Requirements

40408. Informational Panel Labeling Requirements.

Section 40408 (a) start with:

(a) The label for a cannabis product shall include an informational panel that includes the following:

Section 40408 (a) (10) states:

(10) The product expiration date, “use by” date, or “best by” date, if any; and

If stability testing is added to the regulations in section 40265, then expiration date must be determine using the maximum stable period determined in the stability testing of the cannabis product. Furthermore, we would recommend that only the expiration date be used on the label, and “use by” and “best by” date’ be removed as labeling options in section 40408 (a) (10). The new section 40408 (a) (10) would read:

(10) The product expiration date, as determine by the product manufacture date, and the maximum stable period of the product determined by the stability testing in section 40265; and

#35

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Tuesday, February 06, 2018 6:56:41 PM
Last Modified: Tuesday, February 06, 2018 7:12:12 PM
Time Spent: 00:15:30
IP Address: 76.102.197.147

Page 1

Q1 First Name (Optional)

Respondent skipped this question

Q2 Last Name (Optional)

Respondent skipped this question

Q3 Organization (Optional)

Respondent skipped this question

Q4 Title (Optional)

small batch topical maker

Q5 Please choose the one subcommittee to which you would like your feedback to be sent. Note: You may submit feedback to as many subcommittees as you wish. Simply click on the link again to submit additional comments.

Manufacturers Subcommittee

Q6 Feedback for Subcommittee

Hello, I make cannabis infused topicals in small batches (less than 2 cups of infused oils Quarterly). I live and work in San Francisco. I am a stay at home dad who was unemployed for most of last year before attempting to start a small consulting company from my home office in North Beach while my wife works a full time job.

I want to register my small business with the city of san francisco so I can secure a state temporary license however I am hearing that I can only receive a city of SF permit if I was in a commercial space.

As a small batch product maker, I was hoping there was a way to a cannabis manufacturing permit and be in compliance and have ownership in the emerging multi billion dollar cannabis space. I would not be in a position to secure a commercial space for some time and even if I was there are several things that make it difficult for a small business to invest so much capital. I would like to conduct 12-36 months of my own product research and customer feedback before I commit to using my life savings into or even raising outside capital for. The regulations as they are being shaped make it impossible for a small topical maker to emerge from San Francisco. There should be some consideration to small operations,

Where would the taco be today if held to the same restrictive laws?

#36

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Tuesday, February 06, 2018 8:23:23 PM
Last Modified: Tuesday, February 06, 2018 8:24:27 PM
Time Spent: 00:01:03
IP Address: 162.201.66.29

Page 1

Q1 First Name (Optional)

Respondent skipped this question

Q2 Last Name (Optional)

Respondent skipped this question

Q3 Organization (Optional)

Mendocino Generations

Q4 Title (Optional)

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Please choose the one subcommittee to which you would like your feedback to be sent. Note: You may submit feedback to as many subcommittees as you wish. Simply click on the link again to submit additional comments.

Manufacturers Subcommittee

Q6 Feedback for Subcommittee

February 6th, 2018

Dear Subcommittee Members,

We write to you today, as a group of small farms located throughout Mendocino County, to express our concerns with the current cannabis emergency regulations and are providing input on changes we would like to see made in the new regulations. We are grateful for the opportunity as stakeholders and interested parties to engage in this process. We hope that our suggestions will be considered when drafting the new regulations so that the cannabis-licensing program can operate with efficiency and success.

The largest license type allowed in Mendocino County is 10,000 sq ft of plant canopy. This equates to less than a quarter acre and considered a "hobby garden" by agricultural standards.

State regulations must take the vast disparity in permitted size cultivations throughout the state into consideration as permanent regulations are formulated. Committees must understand the historical significance and economic dependence of counties in the north coast region on cannabis cultivation. Small cannabis farmers need state protection to continue into the regulated and legal era to allow for a viable transition and avoid epidemic bankruptcies, defaults, plummeting property tax revenues and destruction of a unique cultural fabric that can be the regions opportunity rather than its demise.

Various compliance issues imposed specifically on the cannabis industry, and no other agricultural industry in California, by CDFA,

Cannabis Advisory Committee: Subcommittee Input Survey

CWQCB, Cal Fire, CDFW, and a slew of local jurisdictions are simply not viable for small farmers if scale, rural access, cooperative efficiencies and considerations for sustainable methods are not protected by the BCC.

Even though some small farmers may diversify into processing and or low impact manufacturing as regulations allow, our primary concern at this writing is for the small farmer, terrified that their homes, livelihood and decades of investments in the development of methods and genetics will arbitrarily be taken from them by the BCC if the ACA does not act now on their behalf.

Small cannabis cultivators must be afforded the same considerations and protections as other small agricultural endeavors like small vineyards, artisan breweries and related boutique style retailing of their products. As stated in SB94 and its incorporation into Business and Professional Code 26013(c), upon which all cultivators in the state relied under MAUCRSA, "mandate only commercially feasible procedures, technology or other requirements, and shall not unreasonably restrain or inhibit the development of alternative procedures or technology to achieve the same substantive requirements, nor shall such regulations make compliance so onerous that the operation under a cannabis license is not worthy of being carried out in practice by a reasonably prudent business person".

MANUFACTURING CONCERNS:

The County of Mendocino has allowed cultivators to perform processing (drying and trimming) as well as non-volatile manufacturing of products on site, under a cultivation permit, for product grown on the permittee's farm. Mendocino Generations strongly recommends that similar inclusions be incorporated into cultivation licenses for 10,000 sq ft or smaller.

Since many small farmers cannot afford multiple license fees, and other singular manufacturing permits due to the prohibitive zoning restrictions set by Mendocino County, the above recommendation will allow a competitive benefit to small farmers if their cultivation license allows continued processing of their own product on the permitted site.

Thank you for your consideration and support,

Audrey's Farm
Big Dirty Farms
Briza Botanicals
Brother Bee Farms
Coastal Ridge Botanicals
Emerald Naga Farms
Empire Gardens
Flatbed Ridge Farms
Fire Flower Farm
Full Sun Farms
Giving Tree Farms
Granny Jacks
Gypsy Wagon Farms
Herbanology Farms
Higher On The Hog Farms
Hummingbird Farms
Laughing Farms
Le Foret
Magnolia & Fig Cultivars
Mendocino Grasslands
Mendocino Organic Medicine
Moongazer Farms
Oak Knoll Farms
One Feather Ranch

Cannabis Advisory Committee: Subcommittee Input Survey

Potter Valley Farms

Reach High Farm

River Txai Farms

Sensi Farms

Sun N Moon Ranch

Sunbright Gardens

Sweet Sisters Family Farm

UV Organics

#37

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Tuesday, February 06, 2018 8:37:41 PM
Last Modified: Tuesday, February 06, 2018 8:59:09 PM
Time Spent: 00:21:28
IP Address: 64.194.161.33

Page 1

Q1 First Name (Optional)

Holly

Q2 Last Name (Optional)

Carter

Q3 Organization (Optional)

Redwood Roots

Q4 Title (Optional)

CCO

Q5 Please choose the one subcommittee to which you would like your feedback to be sent. Note: You may submit feedback to as many subcommittees as you wish. Simply click on the link again to submit additional comments.

Manufacturers Subcommittee

Cannabis Advisory Committee: Subcommittee Input Survey

Q6 Feedback for Subcommittee

We'd like to support shared kitchen space, and shared packaging spaces, for smaller enterprises to utilize. Using tracking and naming hours of operations of each entity, along with clear SOP's

Packaging

Tamper evident should be considered sufficient for flower and low dose edibles

Sustainable packaging options need to be explored, to lower the waste stream implications.

"§ 5055 (a) A licensee may not sell cannabis waste."

A licensee should be able to recoup costs for transfer of waste that is to be further processed, or may credit producers in returned product.

Waste should and can be re-utilized without diversion into a "black market" by converting it into other products, such as hempcrete, compost, paper, and perhaps some fibers or feedstock. Prohibiting such activities will discourage cradle to grave research and encourage egregious waste stream.

Waste issues - clarify ability to transport waste,

and to expand the availability of licensed facilities that aren't landfill bound. State mandate for waste reduction could bring funding to communities currently without licensed compost facilities, (esp in areas with concentrations of cultivators/manufacturers).

We ask that the waste be designated ag or green waste, rather than medical waste.

We want to ensure there is allowance for product sampling during product development, for own quality assurance and research/product line development.

We ask for the ability to sample for curing of flower and consistency of extracts.

#38

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Wednesday, February 07, 2018 12:12:16 AM
Last Modified: Wednesday, February 07, 2018 12:16:52 AM
Time Spent: 00:04:35
IP Address: 174.214.14.142

Page 1

Q1 First Name (Optional)

Gilda Jo

Q2 Last Name (Optional)

Galbreath

Q3 Organization (Optional)

Dragon Girl's

Q4 Title (Optional)

President

Q5 Please choose the one subcommittee to which you would like your feedback to be sent. Note: You may submit feedback to as many subcommittees as you wish. Simply click on the link again to submit additional comments.

Manufacturers Subcommittee

Q6 Feedback for Subcommittee

Please reconsider regulations eliminating sample sized products being given to buying public, and as compassionate gifts to non profit organizations. Not all cannabis infused products are psychoactive. Samples are packaged for safety, and use by dates are applied. Don't make non profit organizations pay taxes on these gifts.